

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/734,737	12/13/2000	Toshifumi Kimba	2000-1706A	4508
7590 11/19/2003			EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. Suite 800 2033 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006			PHAM, HOA Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/734,737	KIMBA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hoa Q. Pham	2877
The MAILING DATE of this communication of the Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30).  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended per	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a dication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC II, by statute, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irreply be timely.  INTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  INDENTIFY INTERPLY INTERPL
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 May 2003 and 29 August.	2003.
	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice	r allowance except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) <u>20-75</u> is/are pending in the all 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-28,34-47</u> 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>29,31,32,48-50,70-72 and 74</u> 7)  Claim(s) <u>30,33,51,52,73 and 75</u> is/are 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and 53-69 is/are withdrawn from is/are rejected. objected to.	consideration.
Application Papers	·	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objecti		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ne correction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first senter	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies not domestic priority under 35 U.S.C in the first sentence of the specification has domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	Application No In received in this National Stage It received. It is § 119(e) (to a provisional application) It cation or in an Application Data Sheet. It is go a specific sheet in the stage of the stage o
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap</li> </ol>	D-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

٠,

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of species C (claims 29-33, 48-52, 70-75) on August 29, 2003 is acknowledged.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 29, 31, 48, and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriyama et al (5,609,511) (of record) in view of Sato et al (JP-10-335288)(of record).

Regarding claims 29, 31, 48 and 70; Moriyama et al discloses a nozzle (31) which being positioned so as to form a gap (d) between a distal end of the nozzle and a plane (4') of a polishing surface for polishing the film (4), the nozzle discharging a jet of a light-transmitting liquid from the tip end toward the film to form a column of a light transmitting liquid, a light emitter and receiver (S2) for emitting light to the film and receiving light reflected from the film (see figure 7). Moriyama et al does not teach the use of optical fibers for transmitting and/or receiving light from and/or to the measuring surface or detector; however, such a feature is known in the art as taught by Sato et al. Sato et al, from the same field of endeavor, teach that the optical fibers (10a and 10b)

٠,

are used for transmitting/receiving light (figure 1b). Those of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in Moriyama et al the optical fibers as taught by Sato et al for the purpose of transmitting/receiving light. The rationale for this modification would have arisen from the fact that using such optical fibers would prevent light that loss before reaching the detector, thus increase the signal to noise ratio.

4. Claims 32, 49-50, 71-72 and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriyama et al and Sato et al as applied to claims 29, 48, and 70 above, and further in view of Adams et al (6,395,130).

Regarding claim 32, Sato et al does not teach the use of a single optical fiber for both transmitting and receiving light; however, such a feature is known in the art as taught by Adams et al. Adams et al, from the same field of endeavor, teach the use of a single optical fiber (113) for transmitting and receiving light (figure 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the optical fibers of Sato et al by a single optical fiber taught by Adams et al. The rationale for this modification would have arisen from the fact that using a single optical fiber would reduce the cost and size of the device.

Regarding claims 49, 50 and 71-72, Adams et al teaches that the polishing pad (109) is closer to the film than the distal end of optical fiber (113). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include such arrangement in the device of Moriyama and Sato et al. The rationale for

Art Unit: 2877

.

this modification would have arisen from the fact that using such arrangement would prevent the damage to the distal end of the fiber.

Regarding claim 74, see figures 1 and 1A of Adams et al.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 30, 33, 51-52, 73 and 75 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-4808. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM to 5 PM, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Hoa Q. Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

HP

November 13, 2003